

On O-X mode conversion in 2D inhomogeneous plasma with a sheared magnetic field

A Yu Popov

Ioffe Institute, St.Petersburg, Russia

E-mail: a.popov@mail.ioffe.ru

Abstract. The conversion of an ordinary wave to an extraordinary wave in a 2D inhomogeneous slab model of the plasma confined by a sheared magnetic field is studied analytically.

1. Introduction

The linear conversion of waves in nonuniform media is a common phenomenon in physics and has been studied in fields as diverse as RF heating of fusion plasmas, ionospheric physics and so on. The particular case of the linear mode conversion, namely, the conversion of an ordinary (O) wave to an extraordinary (X) wave at electron cyclotron frequencies being a primary consideration for an auxiliary electron heating and current drive in fusion devices was intensively studied for plasmas with a cold plasma dielectric tensor. A quantitatively accurate description of this problem was paid much attention to a couple of decades ago within both the WKB approximation and the full wave analysis for the 1D inhomogeneous slab model of the plasma with zero shear [1]- [4]. Having been renewed by Wendelstein 7-AS (W7-AS) considerable success in realization of the mode conversion scheme [5] the problem was examined in a number of papers [6], [7], [8] within the 2D plasma model and in [9] within the 3D plasma model by analysis of a set of the reduced wave equations valid in a vicinity of the O-X mode conversion layer. In all these papers the curvature of the magnetic flux surfaces was neglected under an assumption of high localization of the conversion region and magnetic field was considered to be shearless with the straight magnetic field lines. No effort was mounted to describe the O-X mode conversion in more realistic case of the 2D inhomogeneous plasma in the magnetic field with a shear except possibly the paper [10] where the effect of the shear on the efficiency of the O-X mode conversion was studied within the 1D inhomogeneous slab model of the plasma. Here we are concerned with the analysis of the O-X mode conversion problem for the 2D inhomogeneous plasma with the sheared magnetic field.

2. Introduction

The linear conversion of waves in nonuniform media is a common phenomenon in physics and has been studied in fields as diverse as RF heating of fusion plasmas, ionospheric physics and so on. The particular case of the linear mode conversion, namely, the conversion of an ordinary (O) wave to an extraordinary (X) wave at electron cyclotron frequencies being a primary consideration for an auxiliary electron heating and current drive in fusion devices was intensively studied for plasmas with a cold plasma dielectric tensor. A quantitatively accurate description of this problem was paid much attention to a couple of decades ago within both the WKB approximation and the full wave analysis for a slab model of the 1D inhomogeneous plasma with zero magnetic field shear [1]- [4]. Having been renewed by Wendelstein 7-AS (W7-AS) considerable success in experimental realization of the O-X mode conversion scheme [5] the problem was examined in a number of papers [6]- [?] within the 2D plasma model and in [9] within the 3D plasma model by analysis of a set of the reduced wave equations valid in a vicinity of the O-X mode conversion layer. In all these papers the curvature of the magnetic flux surfaces was neglected under an assumption of high localization of the conversion region and magnetic field was considered to be shearless with the straight magnetic field lines. No effort was mounted to describe the O-X mode conversion in more realistic case of the 2D inhomogeneous plasma in a sheared magnetic field except possibly the paper [10] where the effect of the shear on the efficiency of the O-X mode conversion was studied within the 1D inhomogeneous slab model of plasma. In this work we are concerned with the analysis of the O-X mode conversion problem for the 2D inhomogeneous plasma with the sheared magnetic field.

3. Basic equations

In this paper we consider plasmas with 2D inhomogeneity that assumes the surfaces $n = \text{const}$ and $B = \text{const}$ being not approximately parallel and the external sheared magnetic field. We treat a problem in the simplest slab geometry accounting for plasma axisymmetry, expecting that the results being obtained within this simple model hold in toroidal plasmas.

Let us start with introduction of the Cartesian co-ordinate system (x, y, z) with its origin located at the O-mode cut-off surface and coinciding with the center of an incident beam of the monochromatic ordinary waves. The co-ordinates x, y and z being scaled in c/ω , where ω is a frequency of the wave and c stands for the speed of light, imitate the flux function, the poloidal angle and the toroidal angle, respectively. We define the magnetic field as

$$\mathbf{B} = B_0 (\sin \theta \mathbf{e}_y + \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_z),$$

where $\theta = \theta_0 + \delta\theta$, θ_0 is an angle between $\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{B}/B_0$ and $\mathbf{e}_z$ at $x = 0$ and $\delta\theta = \delta\theta(x)$ ($\delta\theta = 0$ at $x = 0$) originated due to the shear of the magnetic field. In this study we assume for simplicity that $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} \parallel \mathbf{e}_z$ at $x = 0$. The parameters of inhomogeneity

are assumed to be small $|\nabla \ln(n)| \ll 1$ and $|\nabla \ln(B)| \ll 1$ as in the previous studies [6]-[9]. In such a case the WKB approximation is applicable for description of the interacting waves except a narrow localized conversion layer in a vicinity of the O-mode cut-off surface where the full-wave analysis connecting the incoming and outgoing WKB waves is needed. The WKB approach can give us not only the boundary conditions but some hints on how to reduce the full-wave equations in the conversion layer as well. The dispersion curves of the O and X modes are adequately described by the cold plasma model. These curves are coupled if the local wave-vector $\mathbf{n}$ with components $n_x = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_x$, $n_\eta = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_\parallel \times \mathbf{e}_x)$, $n_\parallel = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_\parallel$ has at the O-mode cut-off surface the only parallel component equal to $n_{res} = (1 + \omega/\omega_{ce})^{-1/2}$ where ω_{ce} is the electron cyclotron frequency at $x = 0$, $y = 0$. This means the conversion of the O wave into the X wave occurs if in a close vicinity of the O-mode cutoff surface $n_\parallel \approx n_{res} + \delta n_\parallel$ where $\delta n_\parallel \ll 1$ and $n_x \ll 1$, $n_\eta \ll 1$. We expand the components of the cold dielectric tensor and the angle θ near the origin of the co-ordinate system into the Taylor series up to the first-order terms:

$$\varepsilon_+ - n_{res}^2 \simeq -|\nabla \varepsilon_+| (x \sin \varphi - y \cos \varphi), \quad \varepsilon_\parallel \simeq -|\nabla \varepsilon_\parallel| x, \quad \theta \simeq Gx,$$

where φ is an angle between two surfaces $\varepsilon_+ - n_{res}^2 = 0$ and $\varepsilon_\parallel = 0$, G^{-1} is a length describing the magnetic field shear. The wave vector in the conversion layer can be interpreted as the differential operator

$$n_x = -i\partial_x, \quad n_\eta = -i\partial_y + Gx, \quad n_\parallel - n_{res} = i\partial_z, \quad \partial_r = \partial/\partial r$$

acting on an appropriate component of the electric field. Using the standard expansion procedure over the small parameters and keeping the first order terms one can obtain the following set of equations for the electric field components

$$\begin{aligned} n_{res} D_+ E_z - i(|\nabla \varepsilon_+| (x \cos \varphi - y \sin \varphi) - i2n_{res} \partial_z) E_+ &= 0 \\ i2|\nabla \varepsilon_\parallel| x E_z - n_{res} D_- E_+ &= 0 \\ E_- &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $E_\pm = E_x \pm iE_y$, $D_\pm = \partial_\pm \mp n_{res} Gx$, $\partial_\pm = \partial_x \pm i\partial_y$. Variation of the electric field components in (1) is neglected as it results in the effects being higher order of vanishing. For any detail of (1) derivation we can refer readers to [?]. This set of equations is different from that studied in [?], which describes the 2D O-X mode conversion but misses the term $n_{res} Gx$ originated due to the magnetic field shear.

This is our basic system which as it stands lost symmetry inherent in the case of the magnetic field with zero shear $G = 0$. It is at first disturbing us by virtue of the fact that no separation of variables and no existence of eigenvalues are permitted in the case of the sheared magnetic field. Fortunately, this initial impression is misleading as will be shown in the forthcoming sections. With the use of the procedure proposed in [?] we will obtain the second order partial differential equation instead of the system (1). Then, applying an integral representation of Laplace integral type as in [?] of unknown function, we will separate variables and obtain eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of this partial differential equation.

4. Analysis of the system (1)

Following a procedure having been proposed in [?] opens a way of overcoming underlying mathematical difficulties and permits us to separate variables in (1). As we assume an axisymmetric plasma, we can seek a solution of (1) in a form $\sim \exp(in_z z)$, where $n_z = \text{const}$. Introducing new notations

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \left(2|\nabla\varepsilon_{\parallel}|/|\nabla\varepsilon_{+}|\right)^{1/4} E_z, \\ b &= \left(|\nabla\varepsilon_{+}|/(2|\nabla\varepsilon_{\parallel}|)\right)^{1/4} E_{+}, \\ g &= Gn_{res}^2/(2|\nabla\varepsilon_{\parallel}||\nabla\varepsilon_{+}|)^{1/2}, \\ y &= 2n_{res}n_z/\sin\varphi/|\nabla\varepsilon_{+}| \rightarrow y, \\ x, y &\cdot \left(2|\nabla\varepsilon_{\parallel}||\nabla\varepsilon_{+}|\right)^{1/4}/n_{res}^{1/2} \rightarrow x, y \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

we read (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} iD_{+}a + (x\cos\varphi - y\sin\varphi)b &= 0 \\ iD_{-}b + x \cdot a &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $D_{\pm} = \partial_{\pm} \mp gx$ and $\partial_{\pm} = \partial_x \pm i\partial_y$. Let us use a generalized Laplace transforms of $a(x, y) \rightarrow a(x, q) = \hat{L}[a(x, y)]$ and $b(x, y) \rightarrow b(x, q) = \hat{L}[b(x, y)]$ where

$$\hat{L}[\cdot] = \exp\left(-i\frac{g}{\sin\varphi}q^2\right) \int_C dy \exp\left(-iqy - i\frac{\sin\varphi}{4g}y^2\right) [\cdot] \quad (4)$$

As we will see later, a contour C can be chosen along the real axis of the complex variable q . We introduce $k = -2g/\sin\varphi \cdot q$ and seek $a(x, k)$, $b(x, k)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, k) &= \hat{\partial}_{-}f - ((g - i\beta\cos\varphi)x + i\beta\sin\varphi k + 2\beta g\partial_k) \cdot f, \\ b(x, k) &= \beta \cdot \hat{\partial}_{+}f + (g\beta + i)x \cdot f, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{\partial}_{\pm} = \partial_x \pm i\partial_k$, $\beta = (\xi - ig)\exp(i\varphi)$, $\xi = (\cos\varphi - g^2 - i\sin\varphi)^{1/2} = |\xi|\exp(-i\psi)$, $|\xi| = (1 - 2g^2\cos\varphi + g^4)^{1/4}$, $2\psi = \arctan[\sin\varphi/(\cos\varphi - g^2)]$. Using (4) and (5) we derived a partial differential equation of second order for a function f instead of the system (3) of two partial differential equations of first order for a and b

$$\partial_x^2 f + \partial_k^2 f + \left((\cos\varphi - g^2)x^2 - \sin\varphi \cdot xk + i|\xi|\exp(-i\psi)\right) f = 0 \quad (6)$$

This equation deserves few comments. For zero shear, $g = 0$, (6) coincides with the equation analyzed in [?]. For the sheared magnetic field we estimate the parameter g as $g \sim L_n/L_0 \ll 1$, where L_n is a scale on which the the density profile at the O-mode cut-off surface changes and L_0 is a minor radius. Henceforth, we keep in mind that the coefficient $\cos\varphi - g^2$ at x^2 in (6) is positive. At $\varphi = 0$ we return to the equation treated within the 1D O-X mode conversion problem in the sheared magnetic field. First who analyzed this case by the WKB analysis were Cairns and Lashmore-Davies [10]. To separate variables in (6) we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sqrt{|\xi|}(\cos\psi \cdot x - \sin\psi \cdot k) \\ v &= \sqrt{|\xi|}(\sin\psi \cdot x + \cos\psi \cdot k) \end{aligned}$$

that yields

$$\partial_u^2 f + \partial_v^2 f + \left(\cos \psi^2 u^2 - \sin \psi^2 v^2 + i \exp(-i\psi) \right) f = 0 \quad (7)$$

A particular solution of (7) is

$$f(u, v) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_p D_{i\nu_p/\pi}(u) \phi_p(v), \quad (8)$$

where $\nu_p = \pi |\tan \psi| (p + (1 - \text{sign}(\varphi))/2)$, $D_{i\nu_p/\pi}(u) \equiv D_{i\nu_p/\pi}(\sqrt{2 \cos \psi} \exp(i\pi/4)u)$ is the parabolic cylinder function [11], $\phi_p(v) \equiv \phi_p(\sqrt{\sin |\psi|}v)$ are the Hermitian polynomials

$$\phi_p(v) = \left(2^p \sqrt{\pi} p! \right)^{-1/2} \exp(-v^2/2) H_p(v)$$

possessing a property $\int \phi_p(v) \phi_k(v) dv = \delta_{pk}$. Substituting (8) in (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, k) &= R(\exp(i\psi)|\xi| + ig) - I(\exp(i\psi)|\xi| - ig) \\ b(x, k) &= R + I, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$I = \sqrt{|\xi|} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_p D_{i\nu_p/\pi}(u) (\partial_v + \sin \psi \cdot v) \phi_p(v), \quad (10)$$

$$R = \sqrt{|\xi|} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_p \phi_p(v) (-i\partial_u + \cos \psi \cdot u) D_{i\nu_p/\pi}(u). \quad (11)$$

Simple but tedious algebra yields

$$I = \sqrt{|\sin(\psi)|} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_p D_{i\gamma_p/\pi}(u) \phi_p(v), \quad (12)$$

$$R = \sqrt{i \cos(\psi)} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_p D_{i\gamma_p/\pi-1}(u) \Lambda_p(v), \quad (13)$$

$$\Lambda_p = \phi_{p+1}(v) \text{ at } \varphi > 0, \Lambda_p = -2p\phi_{p-1}(v) \text{ at } \varphi < 0,$$

where

$$\gamma_p = \pi |\tan \psi| \left(p + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \text{sign}(\varphi)) \right). \quad (14)$$

The term I corresponds to a wave propagating in the positive direction along u , namely to the incident wave and converted wave. The term R being proportional to $D_{i\gamma_p/\pi-1}(u)$ corresponds to the reflected wave propagating in the negative u -direction. The coefficients C_p in (12) can be chosen in such a way to fit the incident WKB ordinary wave in the WKB region. To define C_p we perform the transform (4) of the incident beam $A(x, y) \rightarrow A(x, q) = \hat{L}[A(x, y)]$. Using the definition of k , u and v we express $A(x, q)$ in terms of these variables $A(x, q) \rightarrow A(u, v)$. We assume $A(u, v)$ at $u_b \rightarrow -\infty$ in the form

$$A(u, v) = \exp \left(in_{res} z - i \frac{\cos \psi}{2} u_b^2 + i \frac{\gamma_p}{\pi} \ln \left(\sqrt{2 \cos \psi} u_b \right) \right) \tilde{A}(v),$$

As far as (12) is an expansion in orthogonal functions ϕ_p , we can define C_p as follows

$$C_p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \tilde{A}(v) \phi_p(v) \quad (15)$$

What distinguishes (15) from the coefficients obtained earlier in [?] for the magnetic field with zero shear is the integrand $\tilde{A}(v)$ which is wider function of v due the transform (4) than in the case $g = 0$. As a consequence of this the eigen-mode spectrum C_p is changed considerably compare to the case of the magnetic field with zero shear.

5. Conversion coefficients

Since I corresponds to the incident wave at $u < 0$ and to the converted wave at $u > 0$ we can derive the conversion coefficient for the O-X mode conversion using asymptotic expression of $D_{i\gamma_p/\pi}(u)$ [11] entering (12). Let us introduce the conversion coefficient (T_p) corresponding to a separate p term in the sum (12) over the Hermitian polynomials

$$T_p = \exp(-2\pi(p + \mu) \tan|\psi|), \mu = 1 \text{ at } \varphi > 0, \mu = 0 \text{ at } \varphi < 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\tan|\psi| = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \sin^2 \varphi / (\cos \varphi - g^2)^2} - 1}{\sqrt{1 + \sin^2 \varphi / (\cos \varphi - g^2)^2} + 1} \right)^{1/2}.$$

As in the case of the magnetic field with zero shear, the conversion coefficient for the fixed p mode (16) has asymmetry, considered first time in [?], with respect to the sign of the angle φ between two surfaces $\varepsilon_{\parallel} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_{+} - n_{res}^2 = 0$ ($\mu = 1$ at $\varphi > 0$, $\mu = 0$ at $\varphi < 0$). For the fixed n_z the conversion coefficient T for the O-X mode conversion may be expressed as

$$T = \frac{\sum_p T_p |C_p|^2}{\sum_p |C_p|^2}, \quad (17)$$

For the magnetic field with zero shear $g = 0$ the transform (4) yields $A(x, y) = \hat{L}[A(x, y)]$ and the conversion coefficient of the fixed mode p is performed as in [?]

$$T_p = \exp(-2\pi \tan(|\varphi|/2) (p + \mu)).$$

At $\varphi = 0$ with the use of Mehler's formula [11] we return to the conversion coefficient

$$T = \frac{\int dq |\tilde{A}(q)|^2 \exp(-2\pi q^2 / (1 - g^2)^{3/2})}{\int dq |\tilde{A}(q)|^2}, \quad (18)$$

$$\tilde{A}(q) = \int dy \cdot \tilde{A}(y) \exp(-iqy)$$

derived firstly by Cairns and Lashmore-Davies in [10] by the WKB analysis. We remind that q and g are scaled according (2) and $n_z = 0$ is assumed. Analyzing (4), (9), (12) and (14) we can summarize that the magnetic field shear degrades the efficiency of the O-X mode conversion. An important feature is increasing of the degradation with increasing of the mode number. Though the parameter g for the typical experimental conditions is small $g \sim L_n/L_0 \ll 1$ we can expect remarkable effect of the magnetic field shear on the efficiency of the O-X mode conversion for the modes with $p \gg 1$.

6. Conclusion

In the paper new insight on the 2D O-X mode conversion problem has been done by considering plasmas confined by the sheared magnetic field. Ignoring the curvature of the magnetic flux surfaces under an assumption of high localization of the conversion region and assuming the straight magnetic field lines we have studied the problem in the simplest slab geometry accounting for plasma axisymmetry. Nevertheless, the results being obtained is expected to hold in more realistic toroidal plasmas. The resulting expressions for the electric field components (9), (12) and (13) have been derived that permits finding the conversion coefficient (16) explicitly. As it has been demonstrated the magnetic field shear degrades efficiency of the O-X mode conversion. For usual experimental setup this degradation appears to be remarkable. Finally, extending the model to the case when the magnetic field direction do not parallel to the toroidal direction at the O-mode cut-off surface which is more relevant to tokamak physics needs to be done. This issue will be studied in future paper.

References

- [1] J Prienhalter and V Kopecky 1973 *J. Plasma Physics* **10** 1
- [2] H Weitzner and D B Batchelor 1979 *Phys. Fluids* **22** 1355
- [3] E Mjølhus 1984 *J. Plasma Phys.* **31** 7
- [4] M D Tokman 1985 *Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* **11** 689
- [5] H P Laqua, V Erckmann, H J Hartfuss et al. 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** 3467; H P Laqua, H Maasberg, N B Marashchenko et al. 2003 *ibid.* **90** 075003
- [6] H Weitzner 2004 *Phys. Plasmas* **11** 866
- [7] E D Gospodchikov, A G Shalashov, E V Suvorov 2006 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **48** 869; E D Gospodchikov, A G Shalashov 2008 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **50** 045005; E D Gospodchikov, A G Shalashov 2008 *Phys. Rev. E* **78** 065602
- [8] A Yu Popov, A D Piliya 2007 *Plasma Phys. Reports* **33** 109-116; A Yu Popov 2007 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **49** 1599-1610; M A Irzak and A Yu Popov 2008 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **50** 025003
- [9] E D Gospodchikov, A G Shalashov *Proc. Strong Microwaves in Plasmas* July 27 - August 2, 2008, Nizhny Novgorod.
- [10] R A Cairns, C N. Lashmore-Davies 2000 *Phys. Plasmas* **7** 4126
- [11] Harry Bateman *High transcendental functions* (MC Graw-Hill Book Company, Inc, 1953)